## Weak Interaction Physics at TwinSol

James J. Kolata\* and Maxime Brodeur\*\*

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA

\*Emeritus Professor

\*\*Associate Professor P.I. : Ion-Trap Project



## **Precision Tests of the Standard Model**

Via the CKM matrix unitarity test.  $\sum_{i} |V_{ui}|^{2} = \frac{|V_{ud}|^{2}}{|V_{ud}|^{2}} + |V_{us}|^{2} + |V_{ub}|^{2} = 1 \begin{pmatrix} |d_{w}\rangle \\ |s_{w}\rangle \\ |b_{w}\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |d_{s}\rangle \\ |s_{s}\rangle \\ |b_{s}\rangle \end{pmatrix}$ Iargest element; *involves nuclear physics* 

• Non-unitarity: new physics (or uncover erroneous data/theoretical corrections)



OTRE DAI

- For a reliable CKM matrix unitarity test, V<sub>ud</sub> needs to be both precise and accurate.
- Accuracy can be tested by a determination of V<sub>ud</sub> using multiple systems.
- Pure Fermi  $\beta$ -decay: most precise at this moment.

# V<sub>ud</sub> from mixed-mirror transitions

- Another avenue to extract  $V_{ud}$ , test CVC, and test  $\delta_c$ .
- Only 5 nuclei so far.
- Parameters needed:
  - Half-life
    - Branching ratios
  - Q-values
  - Fermi-to-Gamow
    Teller mixing ratio ρ

 $\boldsymbol{\rho}$  determined by measuring either:

- $\beta$  asymmetry parameter  $A_{\beta}$
- v asymmetry parameter  $B_v$
- $\beta$ - $\nu$  angular correlation  $a_{\beta\nu}$



 $V_{ud}$  from mirror transitions is currently\*:

- ~3.5 times less precise than pure Fermi.
- In agreement with pure Fermi, after reevaluation using new theoretical corrections.

#### \* https://arxiv.org/pdf/2102.03458.pdf

#### **Experimental Considerations**

- (1) Device to **rotate** the stopper away from the beam location.
- (2) Gold stopper: reduces contaminants formed by the beam incident on Ta impurities.
- (3) Detector: 1 mm plastic scintillator on a light guide: reduces interference from γ-radiation.
- (4) Quartz-window PMT: reduces background from the window by about a factor of 10.
- (5) Beam removed during counting period using a pre-tandem electrostatic deflector.



#### Beam-direction view of the apparatus.

The cross structure (meant for simultaneous irradiation while counting) was not used in these experiments. Note the gold stopper.





# <sup>11</sup>C half-life measurement at the NSL





- Superallowed mixed mirror decays → precision tests of SM through V<sub>ud</sub>
  - Measurement of <sup>11</sup>C  $t_{1/2}$  @ UND  $\rightarrow$  most precise of all SA mixed decays
- Lightest of all mirror transitions: to test CVC
- Measurement of mixing ratio using the future ion trap is planned to extract V<sub>ud</sub>.

A. A. Valverde *et al*., PRC **97** 035503 (2018) PHY-1713857, PHY-1401343, PHY-1401242

## **Ft-values of Mirror Transitions**



## "St. Benedict" to measure $\rho$

#### NSF: MRI PHY-1725711



Gas catcher and cooler-buncher are completed. RF carpet commissioning is presently underway.



#### N. Severjins et al., PRL 63, 1050 (1989)\*

- \*Also <sup>17</sup>F {but low precision)
- <sup>17</sup>F is one of the most plentiful
  *TwinSol* beams (~2x10<sup>6</sup> pps )
- This will likely be the first trap experiment.
- Next: measure lighter nuclei (<sup>11</sup>C to <sup>15</sup>O) since they can also probe for scalar/tensor currents.

## TwinSol (original and mods)



OTRE DA

**Gas Cells** 



### LISE++ to Calculate Settings





#### Illustration: <sup>20</sup>Ne production

### **Calculated Beam Envelope**



#### Using the <sup>19</sup>F(d,n) reaction at 68 MeV:

8.5 MV on the FN terminal will produce 50 MeV <sup>20</sup>Ne. Energies up to 60 MeV might be possible if we're willing to push the terminal voltage.

#### **Calculated beam properties:**

2.3x10<sup>3</sup>/s for 100 enA <sup>19</sup>F (7<sup>+</sup>) 10 mm FWHM  $\Delta E = 1.65$  MeV FWHM ±1.75° angle

Purity should be high since the (d,n) Q-value is > +10 MeV. Only <sup>17</sup>O has a similar Q-value.



Why <sup>20</sup>Ne?

### Submission to FRIB PAC-1:

Measurement of <sup>26</sup>Ne+<sup>20</sup>Ne fusion cross sections with the AT-TPC to constrain pycnonuclear burning in accreting neutron stars.

Jaspreet S. Randhawa, Rahul Jain February 12, 2021

As part of this proposal, it was suggested that a comparison with the fusion of lighter systems, such as <sup>20</sup>Ne+<sup>20</sup>Ne, would be necessary.



### **Recent Ne+Ne Fusion Data**





Avila, et al. ; MUSIC chamber Argonne National Lab. [2016] (Preliminary analysis.)

(Note oscillations in <sup>20</sup>Ne data)

"Reduced" data: corrected for nuclear radius. The big cross section difference remains.

## **Additional Fusion Data (Reduced)**



ORNL: PRC 28, 1148 [1983]. Kolata, *et al*.: PRC 16, 891 (1977).

Oscillations in <sup>16</sup>O+<sup>16</sup>O occur due to anti-symmetrization in this identical-particle system, which eliminates the odd partial waves. Does <sup>20</sup>Ne+<sup>20</sup>Ne show this effect?

The observed absolute magnitude of the ANL <sup>20</sup>Ne+<sup>20</sup>Ne data disagrees substantially with the additional data. The big effect of neutron excess disappears. Which is correct?

OTREDA

## **Astrophysical S-factor**



S-factor predictions from M. Beard, *et al.*, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 96, 541 (2010) using the Sao Paulo potential. (These predictions, based on a polynomial approximation, become increasingly unrealistic above  $E_{cm} = 30$  MeV).

Note that these predictions actually suggest that the <sup>20</sup>Ne+<sup>20</sup>Ne experimental cross sections are too high while the <sup>22</sup>Ne+<sup>22</sup>Ne cross sections are too low, I.e., the observed neutron-excess effect may even have been under-estimated.

An experiment starting at E<sub>cm</sub> = 25 MeV should easily reach the region below 16 MeV where there is increasing disagreement between Beard, et al. and optical-model predictions.



### ND-CUBE





- Rectangular geometry ; perpendicular drift
- 40 cm x 40 cm x 40 cm chamber, up to 1 atm
- Micropattern gas detector (MPGD)
- 20 cm x 30 cm, active area ; 1000 anode pads
- Micromegas with thick GEM amplification

#### **Optical Models:**

#1: K.W. Potthast, et al., NPA614, 95 (1997)#2: J. Cook, et al., NPA388, 153 (1982)



### Collaborators

#### Weak Interaction Physics

Maxime Brodeur Dan Bardayan Fred Becchetti James J. Kolata Patrick O'Malley

Dan Burdette BiYing Liu Jacob Long Adrian Valverde

#### TriSol Project

Tan Ahn Dan Bardayan James J. Kolata Patrick O'Malley Jaspreet Randhawa

Sydney Coll (undergrad)

Thanks are also due to a number of Nuclear Science Lab. graduate students who operated the accelerator during the various experiments.

